Nr 11 112

# BULLETIN

DE

# L'ACADÉMIE POLONAISE DES SCIENCES

SÉRIE DES SCIENCES TECHNIQUES

AN LINE

Volume XIII, Numéro 5

# Two-dimensional Problem of Magnetothermoelasticity III.

by

## W. NOWACKI

#### Presented on February 4, 1965

In our previous papers, [1] and [2], the dynamic problem was considered concerning the propagation of magnetothermoelastic waves in a perfectly conductive medium, the latter being in a constant primary magnetic field. In the present paper, we drop the assumption of a perfect conductivity of the medium considering a medium with finite conductivity. To begin with, we take as our starting point three groups of equations. The first of them is composed of equations of electrodynamics of slow-moving media, [3], namely:

(1) 
$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{h} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j},$$

(2) 
$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{H} = -\frac{\mu_0}{c} \frac{\partial \vec{h}}{\partial t},$$

(3) 
$$\vec{j} = \lambda_0 \left[ \vec{E} + \frac{\mu_0}{c} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} \times \vec{H} \right) \right],$$

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{h} = 0.$$

In Eqs. (1)—(4) the symbols  $\vec{h}$ ,  $\vec{E}$  stand for the vectors of the magnetic and electric field intensities, respectively,  $\vec{j}$  denotes the vector of the current density,  $\vec{H}$  — the vector of primary, constant magnetic field,  $\vec{u}$  — the displacement vector,  $\mu_0$  — the magnetic permeability factor, c — the velocity of light, and, finally,  $\lambda_0$  — the electric conductivity.

The second group consists of equations of motion of an elastic medium supplemented with terms derived from Lorentz forces

(5) 
$$\mu \Delta^2 \vec{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \text{ grad div } \vec{u} - \gamma \text{ grad } \theta + \vec{X} + \frac{\mu_0}{c} [\vec{j} \times \vec{H}] = \varrho \frac{\partial^2 \vec{u}}{\partial t^2}$$

and of the expanded equation of heat conductivity

(6) 
$$\nabla^{2}\theta - \frac{1}{\varkappa} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \operatorname{div} \vec{u} = -\frac{Q}{\varkappa}.$$

$$305 - [461]$$

The notations used in the two last equations have the following meaning: in Eq. (5)  $\vec{X}$  denotes the vector of the body force and  $\theta$  — the temperature referred to the natural, undeformed and unstressed state of the body;  $\mu$ ,  $\lambda$  are Lamé's isothermic constants and  $\gamma = (3\lambda + 2\mu) a_t$ , where  $a_t$  is the coefficient of linear expansion. Now, in Eq. (6)  $\varkappa$  denotes the thermal diffusivity,  $\theta = W/\varrho c$ , where W means the quantity of heat produced per time and volume unit and  $c_{\varepsilon}$  is the specific heat of constant deformation. Finally,  $\eta = \gamma T_0/k$  stands for the coefficient describing the coupling of the field of temperature with that of deformation,  $T_0$  denoting the absolute temperature of the body in its natural state (i.e. for  $\theta = 0$ ); k is the coefficient of thermal conductivity.

Eliminating the quantities  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{j}$  from Eqs. (1)—(3), we obtain the following relation

(7) 
$$\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \vec{h} = -\beta \frac{\partial \vec{h}}{\partial t} + \beta \operatorname{rot} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} \times \vec{H} \right), \quad \beta = \frac{4\mu\lambda_0 \mu_0}{c^2}.$$

Taking into account that

(8) 
$$\operatorname{rot} \operatorname{rot} \vec{h} = \operatorname{grad} \operatorname{div} \vec{h} - \Delta^2 \vec{h},$$

as well as relation (4) we reduce Eq. (7) to the form

(8') 
$$\nabla^2 \vec{h} - \beta \frac{\partial \vec{h}}{\partial t} = -\beta \operatorname{rot} \left( \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} \times \vec{H} \right).$$

Eqs. (5), (6) and (8) describe the propagation of magnetothermoelastic waves in a medium with finite conductivity.

In the sequel we assume (without loss of generality) the primary magnetic field to be reduced to the component  $\vec{H} = (0, 0, H_3)$  acting along the  $x_3$ -axis.

In this case we have

$$\vec{j} = \frac{c}{4\pi} \left\{ \partial_2 h_3 - \partial_3 h_2, \, \partial_3 h_1 - \partial_1 h_3, \, \partial_1 h_2 - \partial_2 h_1 \right\}.$$
(9)
$$\dot{\vec{h}} = -\frac{c}{\mu_0} \left\{ \partial_2 E_3 - \partial_3 E_2, \, \partial_3 E_1 - \partial_1 E_3, \, \partial_1 E_2 - \partial_2 E_1 \right\},$$

$$\vec{j} = \lambda_0 \left( E_1 + \frac{\mu_0 H_3}{c} \dot{u}_2, E_2 - \frac{\mu_0 H_3}{c} \dot{u}_1, E_3 \right), \quad \dot{u}_i = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t}.$$

Equations of displacement (5) take the following form:

(10) 
$$\mu \nabla^{2} u_{1} + (\lambda + \mu) \, \partial_{1} e - \gamma \partial_{1} \theta + X_{1} + \frac{\mu_{0} H_{3}}{4\pi} j_{2} = \varrho \, \ddot{u}_{1},$$

$$\mu \nabla^{2} u_{2} + (\lambda + \mu) \, \partial_{2} e - \gamma \partial_{2} \theta + X_{2} - \frac{\mu_{0} H_{3}}{4\pi} j_{1} = \varrho \, \ddot{u}_{2},$$

$$\mu \nabla^{2} u_{3} + (\lambda + \mu) \, \partial_{3} e - \gamma \partial_{3} \theta + X_{3} = \varrho \, \ddot{u}_{3},$$

$$c = \varepsilon_{kk} = \partial_{j} u_{j}.$$

Equation of heat conductivity (6) undergoes no changes and the system of Eqs. (8) reduces to a sole equation

(11) 
$$\nabla^2 h_3 - \beta \frac{\partial \vec{h}}{\partial t} = \beta H_3 \frac{\partial e}{\partial t}.$$

Now, passing from the spatial problem to the two-dimensional one we assume that all causes inducing the wave propagation in an unbounded space are independent of  $x_3$ . Thus, assuming  $Q = Q(x_1, x_2, t)$ ,  $x_j = x_j(x_1, x_2, t)$ , j = 1, 2,  $x_3 = 0$  the third equation from the equation group (10) will be dropped. In the remaining equations all derivatives of functions with respect to  $x_3$  should be equalized to 0.

With these assumptions the magnetothermoelastic waves are described by the following set of equations

$$\nabla^2 h_3 - \beta \dot{h}_3 = \beta H_3 \dot{e},$$

(13) 
$$\mu \nabla^2 u_1 + (\lambda + \mu) \, \partial_1 e - \gamma \partial_1 \theta + X_1 - \frac{\mu_0 \, H_3}{4\pi} \, \partial_1 \, h_3 = \varrho \, \ddot{u}_1,$$

(14) 
$$\mu \nabla^2 u_2 + (\lambda + \mu) \partial_2 e - \gamma \partial_2 \theta + X_2 - \frac{\mu_0 H_3}{4\pi} \partial_2 h_3 = \varrho \ddot{u}_2,$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{i}}^{2}\theta - \frac{1}{\varkappa} \dot{\theta} - \eta \dot{e} = 0$$

where  $e = \partial_1 u_1 + \partial_2 u_2$ ,  $\nabla_1^2 = \partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2$ .

The system of Eqs. (12)—(15) can be partially disjoined, namely by introducing a decomposition of the displacement vector  $\vec{u} = (u_1, u_2, 0)$  and of the body forces vector  $\vec{X} = (X_1, X_2, 0)$  into two parts: potential and rotational.

(16) 
$$u_1 = \partial_1 \Phi - \partial_2 \psi, \quad u_2 = \partial_2 \Phi + \partial_1 \psi,$$

(17) 
$$X_1 = \varrho (\partial_1 \vartheta - \partial_2 \chi), \quad X_2 = \varrho (\partial_2 \vartheta + \partial_1 \chi).$$

Introducing Eqs. (16) and (17) into Eqs. (12)—(15), we obtain the following system of equations

(18) 
$$\left(\nabla_1^2 - \frac{1}{c_2^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \psi = -\frac{1}{c_2^2} \chi,$$

(19) 
$$\left(\nabla_1^2 - \frac{1}{c_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \Phi - m\theta - \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{H_3}{\varrho c_1^2} h_3 = -\frac{1}{c_1^2} \vartheta,$$

(20) 
$$\left(\nabla_1^2 - \frac{1}{\varkappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \theta - \eta \nabla_1^2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = -\frac{Q}{\varkappa},$$

(21) 
$$\left(\nabla_1^2 - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) h_3 - \beta H_3 \nabla_1^2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = 0, \quad c_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\varrho}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, c_2 = \left(\frac{\mu}{\varrho}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, m = \frac{\gamma}{c_1^2 \varrho}.$$

Let us observe that Eq. (18) may be solved independently of other equations, which are conjugate. If in an unbounded space we have Q = 0 and  $\vartheta = 0$ , then the only factor inducing a motion are the body forces,  $\bar{X} = \varrho \left( -\partial_2 \chi, \partial_1 \chi, \partial \right)$ . Thus,  $\Phi = 0$ ,

 $\theta = 0$  and  $h_3 = 0$ . In the unbounded space only a transverse wave, purely elastic, propagates with constant velocity  $c_2 = (u/\varrho)^{1/2}$ . In this case we have

$$e = \partial_1 u_1 + \partial_2 u_2 = 0$$
,  $\omega_3 = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_1 u_2 - \partial_2 u_1) = \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 \psi$ ,

and the state of stress described in a general form by the formula

(22) 
$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu \, \varepsilon_{ij} + (\lambda e - \gamma \theta) \, \delta_{ij}$$

reduces to three quantities

(23) 
$$\sigma_{11} = \sigma_{22} = -2\mu \partial_1 \partial_2 \psi, \quad \sigma_{12} = \mu (\partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2) \psi.$$

From the formulae (9) we have

$$\vec{j} = 0$$
,  $E_1 = -\frac{\mu_0 H_3}{c} \, \partial_1 \, \dot{\psi}$ ,  $E_2 = -\frac{\mu_0 H_3}{c} \, \partial_2 \, \dot{\psi}$ ,  $\partial_2 E_1 - \partial_1 E_2 = 0$ .

Let us now consider the case, where  $\chi=0$  and in the unbounded space sources of heat Q are acting and body forces  $\vec{X}=\varrho\left(\partial_{1},\vartheta,\partial_{2},\vartheta,0\right)$  derived from the potential  $\vartheta$ . In this case we have  $\psi=0$  in each point of the unbounded space. We have at our disposal the conjugate equations (19) and (21). Then in the unbounded region longitudinal magnetothermoelastic waves  $\Phi$ ,  $h_{3}$  and Q will arise.

We shall now eliminate the function  $h_3$  from Eqs. (19)—(21). As a result we obtain a system of two equations; their right-hand sides represent the causes inducing the wave movement.

(24) 
$$D_1 \Box_1^2 \Phi - m D_1 \theta - \alpha \beta \nabla_1^2 \dot{\Phi} = -\frac{1}{c_1^2} D_1 \vartheta,$$

$$(25) D_2 \theta - \eta \nabla_1^2 \dot{\Phi} = -\frac{Q}{\varkappa}.$$

We have introduced here the following notations

(26) 
$$D_{1} = \nabla_{1}^{2} - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad D_{2} = \nabla_{1}^{2} - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad \Box_{1}^{2} = \nabla^{2} - \frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}},$$
$$a_{0}^{2} = \frac{\mu_{0} H_{3}^{2}}{4\pi\varrho}, \quad a = \frac{a_{0}^{2}}{c_{1}^{2}}.$$

Here, the symbol  $a_0$  stands for what is called the Alfven velocity. The disturbance provoked by the existence of the primary magnetic field is characterized by the term  $a\beta\nabla_1^2\Phi$  in Eq. (24). Let us observe that in the particular case of perfect conductivity, i.e. for  $\lambda_0 = \infty$  and, consequently,  $\beta = \infty$ , Eq. (24) reduces to the form

(24') 
$$(1+a) \nabla_1^2 \Phi - \frac{1}{c_1^2} \ddot{\Phi} - m\theta = -\frac{1}{c_1^2} \vartheta,$$

or

(24") 
$$\nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{1}{c_0^2} \ddot{\Phi} - m_0 \theta = -\frac{1}{c_1^2} \vartheta$$
.  $c_0^2 = c_1^2 + a_0^2 = c_1^2 (1+\alpha)$ ,  $m_0 = \frac{\gamma}{c_0^2 \varrho}$ 

in conformity with the equation derived in [1]. If, in addition,  $H_3 = 0$ , Eqs. (24) and (25) transform into the known equation of thermoelasticity. To determine the potential  $\Phi$  we may make use of the equation

(27) 
$$[D_1 D_2 \Box_1^2 - \frac{1}{\varkappa} \partial_t \nabla_1^2 (\varepsilon_T D_1 + \varepsilon_H D_2)] \Phi = -\frac{m}{\varkappa} D_1 Q - \frac{1}{c_1^2} D_1 D_2 \vartheta.$$

The following notations have been introduced here

$$\varepsilon_T = \eta m \varkappa, \quad \varepsilon_H = \alpha \beta \varkappa, \quad \partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

In the equation of longitudinal wave, i.e. in Eq. (27), the coefficient  $\varepsilon_T$  characterizes the conjugation of the temperature field with that of deformation, while the coefficient  $\varepsilon_H$  describes the conjugation of the electromagnetic field with that of deformation. True, Eq. (27) is very complicated, however, it appears from its very structure that the magnetothermoelastic wave  $\Phi$  is a damped wave and undergoes dispersion.

After determining the function  $\Phi$  as a particular integral of Eq. (27) in an unbounded space, we substitute  $\nabla_1^2 \Phi$  into Eqs. (20) and (21). The solution of these equations leads to the determination of functions  $\theta$  and  $h_3$ . The function  $\Phi$  being known, we are able to determine certain mechanical quantities.

(28) 
$$u_i = \partial_i \Phi$$
,  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j \Phi$ ,  $e = \nabla^2 \Phi$ ,  $\omega_3 = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_1 u_2 - \partial_2 u_1) = 0$ .

The stresses  $\sigma_{ij}$  may be obtained from the formula (22), Eq. (19) being taken into account. The corresponding formulae read as follows

(29) 
$$\sigma_{ij} = 2\mu \left( \Phi_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \nabla_1^2 \Phi \right) + \varrho \left( \ddot{\Phi} - \vartheta \right) + \frac{\mu_0 H_3 h_3}{4\pi}, \quad i, j = 1, 2,$$

$$\sigma_{33} = -2\mu \nabla_1^2 \Phi + \varrho \left( \ddot{\Phi} - \vartheta \right) + \frac{\mu_0 H_3 h_3}{4\pi}.$$

Electromagnetic quantities are given by formulae (9).

The function  $\theta$  may be obtained equally as a particular integral of an equation derived by means of elimination of the function  $\Phi$  from Eqs. (24) and (25)

(30) 
$$[D_1 D_2 \Box_1^2 - \frac{1}{\varkappa} \partial_t \nabla_1^2 (\varepsilon_T D_1 + \varepsilon_H D_2)] \theta = -\frac{1}{\varkappa} (D_1 \Box_1^2 - \alpha \beta \partial_t \nabla_1^2) Q - \frac{1}{c_t^2} \eta \partial_t \nabla_1^2 D_1 \theta .$$

Similarly, eliminating functions  $\Phi$  and  $\theta$  from Eqs. (19)—(21), we obtain the following equation

$$(31) \quad [D_1D_2 \bigsqcup_1^2 - \frac{1}{\varkappa} \partial_t \nabla_1^2 \left(\varepsilon_T D_1 + \varepsilon_H D_2\right)] h_3 = \frac{m\beta H_3}{\varkappa} \partial_t \nabla_1^2 Q + \frac{\beta H_3}{c_1^2} \partial_t \nabla_1^2 \vartheta.$$

To solve Eqs. (27), (30) and (31) it is a difficult and cumbersome operation. We will attempt to simplify them. First, we obtain a notable simplification if we consider

the wave propagation at Q=0 as an adiabatic process. In such a case, we have  $\theta=-\eta\kappa e$  and, consequently, the Eq. (19) will be reduced to the following one

(32) 
$$\left(\nabla_1^2 - \frac{1}{c_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\right) \Phi - \frac{\mu_0 H_3 h_3}{4\pi \varrho \bar{c}_1^2} = -\frac{1}{\bar{c}_1^2} \vartheta,$$

where  $\bar{c}_1 = \frac{(\lambda_1 + 2\mu_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\varrho}$ , where  $\mu_1$ ,  $\lambda_1$  are Lamé's constants measured in adiabatic conditions.

Eliminating the function  $h_3$  from Eqs. (32) and (21), we obtain

(33) 
$$(D_1 \square_1^2 - a\beta \partial_t \nabla_1^2) \Phi = -\frac{1}{c_1^2} D_1 \vartheta.$$

The quantity  $c_1$  appearing in the operators as well as in the right-hand side of Eq. (33) is regarded as an adiabatic quantity. Eq. (33) describes the longitudinal magneto-elastic wave. After determining the function  $\Phi$  from Eq. (33) we determine the function  $h_3$  from Eq. (21).

A further simplification of Eq. (33) may be obtained if we assume  $a = a_0^2/c_1^2 \le 1$ , i.e. if we assume the primary magnetic field to be of low value  $H = (0, 0, H_3)$ . Then, considering a as a small parameter and expanding the function  $\Phi$  into a power series, with respect to the quantities

(34) 
$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + a\Phi_1 + a^2\Phi_2 + ...,$$

we determine the functions appearing in (34) from the following set of equations

(35) 
$$\Box_{1}^{2} \Phi_{0} = -\frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}} \vartheta,$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$D_{1} \Box_{1}^{2} \Phi_{r} = \beta \partial_{t} \nabla_{1}^{2} \Phi_{r-1}$$

If the wave motion is induced by a heat source, a considerable simplification of Eq. (2.7) will be obtained by disregarding the conjugation of the field of deformation with that of temperature, i.e. assuming  $\varepsilon_T = 0$ . In this way we get

(36) 
$$D_{2}\left[D_{1} \Box_{1}^{2} - \frac{\varepsilon_{H}}{\varkappa} \partial_{t} \nabla_{1}^{2}\right] \Phi = -\frac{m}{\varkappa} D_{1} Q, \quad \varepsilon_{H} = \alpha \beta \varkappa.$$

If, here again,  $\alpha = a_0^2/c_1^2 \le 1$  then, applying the perturbation method and expressing  $\Phi$  by the series (34), we obtain the following system of equations

$$D_1 \square_1^2 \Phi_0 = -\frac{m}{\kappa} Q$$
,  
 $D_1 \square_1^2 \Phi_r = \beta \partial_t \nabla_1^2 \Phi_{r-1}$ ,

To illustrate our considerations let us quote a simple example. Assume that in an unbounded space act body forces distributed uniformly along the  $x_3$ -axis. The body forces are due to the potential  $\vartheta$  and there is  $\vartheta = \vartheta_0 e^{i\omega t} \delta(r)/2\pi r$ . Then the particular integral of Eq. (27) may be presented with the help of the Hankel's integral

(37) 
$$\Phi(r,t) = \frac{\vartheta_0 e^{i\omega t}}{2\pi c_1^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\zeta^2 + i\beta\omega) (\zeta^2 + q) \zeta J_0(r\zeta) d\zeta}{\{(\zeta^2 + i\beta\omega) (\zeta^2 + q) (\zeta^2 - \gamma^2) + q\zeta^2 [\varepsilon_T(\zeta^2 + 1\beta\omega) + \varepsilon_H(\zeta^2 + q)]\}},$$
$$q = \frac{i\omega}{\varkappa}, \quad \sigma = \frac{\omega}{c_1}.$$

The complexity of this integral is obvious. Proceeding by approximation characterized by Eq. (31) we arrive at the following result

(38) 
$$\varPhi(r,t) = \frac{\vartheta_0 e^{i\omega t}}{2\pi c_1^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{(\zeta^2 + i\beta\omega) \zeta J_0(\zeta r) d\zeta}{(\zeta^2 + k_1^2) (\zeta^2 + k_2^2)},$$

where

$$k_1^2 + k_2^2 = \beta i\omega + q\varepsilon_H - \sigma^2$$
,  $k_1^2 k_2^2 = -i\beta\omega\sigma^2$ .

The quantities  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are roots of the equation

$$k^4+k^2\left[\beta i\omega+q\varepsilon_H-\sigma^2\right]-i\beta\omega\sigma^2=0$$
,

they are conjugated quantities and are chosen so as to have

$$k_{B} = a_{B} + ib_{B}, \quad a_{B} > 1, \quad b_{B} > 1, \quad \beta = 1, 2,$$

Under this assumption the conditions of radiation in infinity will be satisfied. The function  $\Phi$  may we presented in a closed form:

(39) 
$$\Phi = \frac{\vartheta_0}{2\pi c_1^2} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{e^{i\omega t}}{k_1^2 - k_2^2} [(k_1^2 - i\beta\omega) K_0 (k_1 r) - (k_2^2 - i\beta\omega) K_0 (k_2 r)] \right\},$$

where  $K_0(z)$  is the modified Bessel's function of the third kind.

DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICS OF CONTINUOUS MEDIA, INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL TECHNI-CAL PROBLEMS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

(ZAKŁAD MECHANIKI OŚRODKÓW CIĄGŁYCH, INSTYTUT PODSTAWOWYCH PROBLEMÓW TECH-NIKI, PAN)

#### REFERENCES

- W. Nowacki, Two-dimensional problem of magnetothermoelasticity, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. sci. techn., 10 (1962).
  - [2] , The plane problem of magnetothermoelasticity, ibid., 11 (1963).
- [3] S. Kaliski, J. Petykiewicz, Dynamical equations of motion coupled with field of temperature and resolving functions for elastic and inelastic bodies in the magnetic field, Proc. Vibr. Probl., 1 (1960), No. 3.
- [4] G. Paria, On magneto-thermo-elastic plane waves, Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc., Part III, 5 (1962), No. 58.
- [5] A. J. Willson, The propagation of magneto-thermo--elastic plane waves, Proc. Cambr. Phil. Soc., Part II, 5 (1963), No. 59.

### В. НОВАЦКИЙ, О ДВУХМЕРНОЙ ПРОБЛЕМЕ МАГНИТОТЕРМОУПРУГОСТИ.

В заметке обсуждается проблема распространения двухмерных волн, вызванных в неограниченной среде действием массовых сил, а также источников тепла. Упругая среда находится в постоянном первичном магнитном поле, так что факторы, вызывающие волновое движение приводят к образованию температурного и электромагнитного полей, сопряженных с полем деформации. Полагая, что массовые силы и источники тепла не зависимы от переменной x<sub>3</sub>, предполагая далее, что первичное магнитное поле действует вдоль оси x<sub>3</sub>, получается разделение волнового движения на продольные и поперечные волны.

Поперечная волна не подвергается затуханию ни дисперсии; в противоположность тому, продольные магнитотермоупругие волны подвергаются затуханию и дисперсии.

Наконец, предложен метод приближенного решения уравнения продольной волны при использовании метода пертурбаций.

